arXiv:1601.05171v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 20 Jan 2016

Band filling dependence of the Curie temperature in CrO_2

I V Solovyev[1,2], I V Kashin[2], V. V. Mazurenko[2]

[1] Computational Materials Science Unit, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

E-mail: solovyev.igor@nims.go.jp

[2] Department of Theoretical Physics and Applied Mathematics, Ural Federal University, Mira str. 19, 620002 Ekaterinburg, Russia

Abstract. Rutile CrO_2 is an important half-metallic ferromagnetic material, which is also widely used in magnetic recording. In an attempt to find the conditions, which lead to the increase the Curie temperature (T_C), we study theoretically the band-filling dependence of interatomic exchange interactions in the rutile compounds. For these purposes, we use the effective low-energy model for the magnetic t_{2g} bands, derived from the first-principles electronic structure calculations in the Wannier basis, which is solved by means of dynamical mean-field theory. After the solution, we calculate the interatomic exchange interactions, by using the theory of infinitesimal spin rotations, and evaluate T_C. We argue that, as far as the Curie temperature is concerned, the band filling realized in CrO_2 is far from being the optimal one and much higher T_C can be obtained by decreasing the number of t_{2g} electrons (n) via the hole doping. We find that the optimal n is close to 1, which should correspond to the case of VO_2, provided that it is crystallized in the rutile structure. This finding was confirmed by using the experimental rutile structure for both CrO_2 and VO_2 and reflects the general tendency towards ferromagnetism for the narrow-band compounds at the beginning of the band filling. In particular, our results suggest that the strong ferromagnetism can be achieved in the thin films of VO_2, whose crystal structure is controlled by the substrate.

PACS numbers: 75.10.-b, 75.50.Ss, 75.47.Lx, 71.15.Mb

1. Introduction

CrO_2 is a rare example of stoichiometric oxide, which demonstrates metallic and ferromagnetic (FM) properties. It is widely used in magnetic recording and considered as one of the best particulate ever invented for these purposes [1, 2]. Besides magnetorecording, chromium dioxide has attracted a considerable scientific interest due to its half-metallic electronic structure [3, 4, 5, 6], which is intensively studied today due to its implication in various spin-dependent transport phenomena [7].

In our previous work [8], we have studied the microscopic origin of the half-metallic ferromagnetism in CrO_2 by combining first-principles electronic structure calculations with the model Hamiltonian approach and modern many-body methods for treating the electron-electron correlations. We have demonstrated that the problem is indeed highly nontrivial: at the first glance, the ferromagnetism in CrO_2 can be easily explained by the Hund's rule related exchange processes in the narrow t_{2g} band, located near the Fermi level. However, the electron-electron correlations, rigorously treated in the frameworks of dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [9], tend to destabilize the FM state. The ferromagnetism in the stoichiometric CrO_2 reemerges only if, besides conventional kinetic energy changes in the t_{2g} band, to consider other mechanism, involving direct exchange and magnetic polarization of the oxygen band. We have shown how all these contributions can be evaluated using first-principles electronic structure calculations in the local-density approximation (LDA).

The main purpose of the present work is to explore the question: How can one further stabilize the metallic FM state and increase the Curie temperature ($T_{\rm C}$) in CrO_2? This is indeed a very important technological and fundamental problem. From the technological point of view, $T_{\rm C}$ in CrO_2 is not exceptionally high (about 390 K [2]), so that the magnetic properties at the room temperature are deteriorated by the thermal fluctuations. From the theoretical point of view, the problem is also highly nontrivial because the robustness of the ferromagnetism in CrO_2 strongly depends on details of the electronic structure. Indeed, the magnetic properties of CrO_2 are predetermined by the behavior of t_{2g} bands, located near the Fermi level. In the rutile structure, the t_{2g} orbitals belong to different representations of the point group. Therefore, depending on the crystal distortion, one can expect rather dissimilar behavior of these orbital contributions, and hypothetically one can imagine rather different types of the electronic structure, which will stabilize different magnetic states. For example, if one of the t_{2g} electrons resides on the localized orbital, which forms a narrow band below the Fermi level, and polarizes the itinerant electrons in two other nearly degenerate bands (see Fig. 1a), one can expect a strong tendency towards ferromagnetism driven by the direct exchange (DE) mechanism [10]. This scenario for CrO_2, which is reminiscent of the physics of colossal magnetoresistive manganites [11], was proposed in ref. [12] and since then was actively discussed in the literature. From the viewpoint of the DE physics, the electronic structure depicted in Fig. 1a is nearly optimal for stabilizing the FM ground state and if it was indeed realized in CrO_2 one could hardly expect any other possibilities



Figure 1. Schematic view on the majority-spin electronic structure of the transition-metal dioxides with the rutile structure at $n = 2$ (where n is the number of electrons in the t_{2g} band per one transition-metal site), which supports the ferromagnetic ground state (a) and antiferromagnetic ground state (b). The Fermi level is shown by dot-dashed line.

for further improving the FM properties of this material. However, it is only a idealistic picture and in reality there are always some factors, which deteriorate it. One of them is the crystal-field splitting of the t_{2g} orbitals. As somewhat extreme scenario, one can imagine the situation when one of the t_{2g} orbitals is split off by the crystal field, while all three t_{2g} the orbitals form the bands of approximately the same bandwidth (see Fig. 1b). As we will see below, such situation could be indeed realized if, instead of CrO_2, one uses the experimental crystal structure of VO_2. In this case, the system is close to the insulating state, and one can expect antiferromagnetic (AFM) character of exchange interactions, due to the superexchange mechanism [13]. Nevertheless, even in this case, the FM ground state can be restored by the hole doping, which partially depopulates the occupied bands and, thus, activates the DE mechanism. Such scenario is also frequently realized in the colossal magnetoresistive manganites [11]. Then, even in the ideal electronic structure, depicted in Fig. 1a, one unexplored possibility is the partial depopulation of the "narrow" band, formed by more localized t_{2g} orbitals: since it has finite bandwidth, it can also give rise to the DE interactions, which will compensate the decrease of the FM interactions, cause by the depopulation of the "wide" bands. Thus, the situation is indeed very subtle and depends on the actual ratio of the bandwidths of the "narrow" and "wide" bands, as well as other interactions, including the AFM ones, existing in the system beyond the conventional DE limit [10].

In the present work we systematically study the doping-dependence of interatomic exchange interactions in CrO_2, by using the effective low-energy electron model for the t_{2g} bands, derived from the first-principles electronic structure calculations, and solving it by means of DMFT.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we will briefly discuss our method of construction of the effective low-energy electron model, the solution of

this model by using the dynamical mean-field theory, and the theory of infinitesimal spin rotations for calculations of interatomic exchange interactions [14, 15]. Then, in Sec. 3, we will present our results. Particularly, we will discuss the doping-dependence of interatomic exchange interactions and explain how it is related to the electronic structure of CrO_2 in DMFT. After that, we will use the obtained parameters of exchange interactions in order to evaluate the Curie temperature and find the optimal concentration of t_{2g} electrons (n), which maximizes $T_{\rm C}$. We will also discuss the effect of the crystal structure on this doping dependence by considering the experimental rutile structure of CrO_2 and VO_2 and argue that in both cases the optimal n is close to 1. Finally, in Sec. 4, we will present a brief summary of our work.

2. Method

In this section, we briefly remind the reader the main steps of our approach. More details can be found in ref. [8]. All calculations have been performed using parameters of the experimental rutile structure (the space group $P4_2/mnm = D_{4h}^{14}$) for CrO_2 [16] and VO_2 [17].

The first step is the construction of the effective Hubbard-type model for the magnetically active t_{2g} bands:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{ij}\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{ab} t_{ij}^{ab}\hat{c}_{ia\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{jb\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}\sum_{abcd} U_{abcd}^{i}\hat{c}_{ia\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{ic\sigma'}^{\dagger}\hat{c}_{ib\sigma}\hat{c}_{id\sigma'}, \qquad (1)$$

starting from the band structure in LDA. The model itself is formulated in the basis of Wannier functions, which were obtained using the projector-operator technique [18, 19] and the orthonormal linear muffin-tin orbitals (LMTO's) [20] as the trial wave functions. $\sigma(\sigma')= \uparrow/\downarrow$ in (1) are the spin indices, while a, b, c, and d label three t_{2g} orbitals, which have the following form in the global coordinate frame: $|1\rangle = \pm\frac{1}{2}|xy\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|3z^2-r^2\rangle$, $|2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|yz\rangle \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|zx\rangle$, and $|3\rangle = |x^2-y^2\rangle$, where two signs correspond to two Cr (V) sites in the primitive cell. Since three t_{2g} orbitals belong to different irreducible representations of the point group $mmm = D_{2h}$, all local quantities, including the DMFT self-energy and local Green's function, are diagonal with respect to the orbital indices. The indices i and j specify the positions of the Cr (V) atoms in the lattice. The parameters of the one-electron part, $\hat{t} = [t_{ij}^{ab}]$, are defined as the matrix elements of the LDA Hamiltonian in the Wannier basis [18]. The parameters of screened on-site Coulomb interactions, $\hat{U} = [U_{abcd}^{i}]$, are calculated in the framework of constrained random-phase approximation (RPA) [21], using the simplified procedure, which is explained in ref. [18]. The parameters of the model Hamiltonian, obtained for CrO_2 and VO_2, are summarized in Table 1.

After the construction, the model (1) was solved by means of the DMFT [9], where the properties of the many-electron system are formally related to the frequency-dependent self-energy $\hat{\Sigma}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega)$, which describes all kind of correlation effects in the one-

Table 1. Parameters of electron model (in eV) for CrO_2 and VO_2: the crystal-field splitting of the t_{2g} levels ($\hat{t}_{00}$), the transfer integrals between nearest- and next-nearest neighbors ($\hat{t}_{01}$ and $\hat{t}_{02}$, respectively), and Kanamori parameters of the intra-orbital Coulomb ($\mathcal{U}$) and exchange ($\mathcal{J}$) interactions. The positions of the atomic sites 1 and 2 relative to the origin are explained in Fig. 2.

	CrO_2	VO_2
$\hat{t}_{00}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -0.246 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.060 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.186 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -0.225 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.081 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.306 \end{pmatrix}$
$\hat{t}_{01}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -0.067 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.191 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.158 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -0.212 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.123 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.200 \end{pmatrix}$
$\hat{t}_{02}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -0.015 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.028 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.194 & -0.119 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0.004 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.059 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.178 & -0.127 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathcal{U}$	2.84	0.70
$\mathcal{J}$	2.98	0.71



Figure 2. (Left) Dependence of interatomic exchange interactions on the number of electrons in the t_{2g} band of CrO_2. (Right) Lattice of Cr sites with the notation of interatomic exchange interactions.

electron Green's function $\hat{G}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ (in the reciprocal $\mathbf{k}$-space):

$$\hat{G}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \left[\omega - \hat{t}(\mathbf{k}) - \hat{\Sigma}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega)\right]^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

The basic approximation here is that $\hat{\Sigma}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega)$ does not depend on $\mathbf{k}$, which becomes exact in the limit of infinite dimensions (or coordination numbers). The main idea of DMFT is to map the initial many-body problem for the crystalline lattice onto the quantum impurity one, surrounded by an effective electronic bath, and find parameters of Green's function of this bath, $\mathcal{G}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega)$, by solving the Anderson impurity model. For these purposes we employ the newly developed exact diagonalization scheme. The

details can be found in refs. [8, 22]. Then, $\hat{\Sigma}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega)$ is obtained from the Dyson equation:

$$\hat{G}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega) + \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega)\hat{\Sigma}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega)\hat{G}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega), \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{G}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{G}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$, and the problem is solved self-consistently.

After the solution of the DMFT problem, we consider the mapping of the electron model (1) onto the spin Heisenberg model:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_S = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} J_i \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_j \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{j+i}. \tag{4}$$

In these notations, J_i is the exchange coupling between two Cr (V) sites, located in the origin (0) and in the point i of the lattice, relative to the origin, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_j$ is the direction of the spin moment at the site j (while the value of the spin itself is included in the definition of J_i). The mapping onto the spin model implies the adiabatic motion of spins when all instantaneous changes of the electronic structure adjust slow rotations of the spin magnetic moments. Then, the parameters of this model can be obtained by using the theory of infinitesimal spin rotations near the FM state [14, 15]:

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2\pi}\mathrm{Im}\int_{-\infty}^{\varepsilon_\mathrm{F}} d\omega\, \mathrm{Tr}_L \left\{\Delta\hat{\Sigma}(\omega)\hat{G}^{\uparrow}_{0i}(\omega)\Delta\hat{\Sigma}(\omega)\hat{G}^{\downarrow}_{i0}(\omega)\right\}, \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{G}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}_{0i}(\omega) = [\omega - \hat{t} - \hat{\Sigma}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}(\omega)]^{-1}_{0i}$ is the one-electron Green function between sites 0 and i, $\Delta\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{\Sigma}^{\uparrow} - \hat{\Sigma}^{\downarrow}$, and Tr_L denotes the trace over the orbital indices. In fact, the parameters $\{J_i\}$ given by Eq. (5) are proportional to the second derivatives of the total energy with respect to the infinitesimal rotations of spins [14, 15]. Thus, these parameters characterize the local stability of the magnetic state.

3. Results and Discussions

First, let us explain the behavior of nearest-neighbor (NN) exchange interaction, J_1, which for CrO_2 exhibits a nonmonotonous behavior with a peak at around $n = 1$ (see Fig. 2). Due to the *mmm* symmetry of the NN bond $\langle 01\rangle$, the matrices of transfer integrals, $\hat{t}_{01}$, and Green's function, $\hat{G}_{01}$, are diagonal with respect to the orbital indices. Therefore, J_1 is the superposition of three individual contributions originating from the three t_{2g} orbitals. Due to the DE mechanism, which largely controls the behavior of J_1, each contribution is expected to have a maximum near the half-filling and then decrease with the decrease of n [10]. Therefore, near $n = 2$, where the $\uparrow$-spin band, formed by the orbital 1, is nearly fully populated while the bands 2 and 3 are approximately half-filled (see Fig. 3), J_1 decrease with the decrease of n. However, then the orbital 1 is gradually depopulated and becomes half-filled at around $n = 1$. This explain the appearance of the additional peak around $n = 1$ (Fig. 4). Indeed, the transfer integral between orbitals of the type 1 in the bond $\langle 01\rangle$ is moderately strong (see Table 1) [8]. Therefore, one can expect relatively large FM DE contribution and weak (in comparison with other orbitals) AFM contribution to the exchange coupling, which appears in the next order of the $(\Delta\hat{\Sigma})^{-1}$ expansion [8, 10]. The t_{2g} electrons, occupying the orbital 1 in CrO_2,



Figure 3. Partial densities of states as obtained in the DMFT calculations for CrO_2 (left) and VO_2 (right) for $n = 1.0$, 1.5, and 2.0 electrons in the t_{2g} band. The Fermi level is at zero energy (shown by dot-dashed line).

are sometimes considered as the localized ones and participating only in the formation of the weakly AFM background (similar to t_{2g} electrons in manganites [11]), while the ferromagnetism is entirely related to the itinerant electrons in the bands, formed by the orbitals 2 and 3 [12]. As we have seen , such interpretation is not quiet correct and the orbital 1 can also substantially contribute to the ferromagnetism, at least in the case of the hole doping.

For the next-NN bond $\langle 02 \rangle$, the transfer integrals are strongly off-diagonal with respect to the orbitals indices (see Table 1). Moreover, the matrix element t_{02}^{11} is small. Therefore, the contribution of the orbital 1 to J_2 will be also small and entangled with other orbitals. In such situation, the behavior of J_2 is mainly controlled by the population of the orbitals 2 and 3. This is the main reason why J_2 monotonously decrease with the decrease of n.



Figure 4. Partial contributions of three t_{2g} orbitals to the nearest-neighbor exchange coupling J_1 and long-range interactions J_6 and $J_7^>$ in CrO_2.

The behavior of longer-range interactions is more complex. Very generally, one can expect that the more remote are the sites, the more oscillations will have the exchange coupling between them depending on n [23, 24]. This tendency is indeed observed in our DMFT calculations (see Fig. 2): while J_1 and J_2 remain FM for $n \leq 2$, several long-range interactions change their sign. Particularly, the interactions J_5-J_8 are AFM at $n = 2$, but gradually become FM with the decrease of n. The strongest effect is observed for J_6 and $J_7^>$, which reach the maximum at around $n = 1.25$. This behavior is also related to the partial depopulation of the ↑-spin band, formed by the orbital 1, while the contribution of two other orbitals is considerably weaker (see Fig. 4).

Thus, while for $n = 2$ the FM state in our model is unstable (see Fig. 5), one can expect a strong ferromagnetism around $n = 1$, mainly as the joint effect of J_1, J_6, and $J_7^>$. Note that in our model, we do not consider the contributions caused by direct exchange interactions and the polarization of the oxygen band, which are indeed crucial

for stabilizing the FM ground state in CrO_2 [8]. However, it is reasonable to expect that the doping-dependence of these interactions is relatively weak, because the doping mainly affects the magnetic interactions in the t_{2g} band. Therefore, we do not consider here the direct exchange interactions as well as the effects of magnetic polarization of the oxygen band, assuming that, if necessary, these (independent on the doping) FM contributions can be simply added to the ones in the t_{2g} band, which we explore in the present work.

In order to discuss the band-filling dependence of T_C, we evaluate two quantities, which are related to it. The first one is the sum of exchange interactions around some central site, $J_0 = \sum_i J_i$, which is proportional to the mean-field estimate for T_C. The second one is the actual value of T_C for the spin model (4), evaluated using Tyablikov's RPA [25]. The results are explained in Fig. 5. The definition of T_C is only meaningful



Figure 5. Total exchange coupling around each transition-metal site (J_0) and the Curie temperature (T_C), evaluate in the framework of Tyablikov's random-phase approximation, depending on the number of t_{2g} electrons in CrO_2 (left) and VO_2 (right).

when the FM state is stable. In Tyablikov's approach, this is defined by the magnon frequencies $\omega(\mathbf{q})$: if some of $\omega(\mathbf{q})$ are negative, the FM state is considered to be unstable. These regions of stability (and instability) of the FM state are also shown in Fig. 5. J_0 and T_C reach the maximums at around $n = 1.3$ and 0.9, respectively. The maximal

value of T_C is about 1400 K, which is indeed very high.

Finally, we discuss the effect of the crystal structure on the considered properties. For these purposes, instead of CrO_2, we take the experimental crystal structure of VO_2 and perform the same calculations. Indeed, if the optimal band-filling in CrO_2 is around $n = 1$, one can also try to consider the possibility of the electron or hole doping of VO_2. The obtained band-filling dependence of interatomic exchange interactions in VO_2 is explained in Fig. 6 and corresponding densities of states are shown in Fig. 3. Judging from the parameters of the model Hamiltonian (see Table 1), the main difference



Figure 6. Dependence of interatomic exchange interactions on the number of electrons in the t_{2g} band of VO_2.

between CrO_2 and VO_2 is in the transfer integrals operating in the NN bond $\langle 01 \rangle$: in CrO_2, the transfer integrals between orbitals of the type 1 are considerably weaker than those between orbitals 2 and 3, while in VO_2 all three types are comparable. This explains the fact that all three $\uparrow$-spin bands, formed by the orbitals 1-3, are comparable in the case of VO_2 (see Fig. 3), while in CrO_2 the band 1 is considerably narrower. Moreover, the crystal field, separating the orbital 3, is considerably stronger in the case of VO_2. Therefore, for $n = 2$ in VO_2, the $\uparrow$-spin bands 1 and 2 are nearly filled (or half-filled in the non-spin-polarized case), while the band 3 is almost empty. This explain the AFM character of NN interaction J_1 at $n = 2$, as the general tendency towards antiferromagnetism near the half-filling (referring to the bands 1 and 2 in the non-spin-polarized case) [23, 23]. However, the decrease of n leads to the partial depopulation of the $\uparrow$-spin bands 1 and 2, which changes the character of the NN interaction J_1 towards the FM one due to the DE mechanism. This FM coupling is especially strong around $n = 1.25$. The relative strength of long-range interactions is considerably weaker. Thus these interactions play a less important role in comparison with CrO_2.

The mean-field Hartree-Fock (HF) calculations, performed for the FM state of

VO_2, yield $J_1 = 25.2$ meV and $J_2 = 7.5$ meV. These values are somewhat larger than the ones obtained in the DMFT (21.6 and 7.3 meV, respectively) due to the lack of dynamic correlations, which effectively decrease the intraatomic exchange splitting between majority- and minority-spin states near the Fermi level and, thus, additionally strengthens the AFM superexchange interactions in the case of DMFT [8]. Nevertheless, both HF calculations and DMFT support strong FM character of exchange interactions in VO_2, if they are calculated near the FM state. It is also important to note that the electronic structure and exchange interactions in VO_2 exhibit the strong dependence on the magnetic state in which they are calculated (and in this sense, VO_2 can be regarded as a *smart material* [26, 27]). For instance, in the HF calculations, the AFM alignment of two V spins in the primitive cell opens the band gap (of about 0.1 eV) and changes the character of NN interactions to the AFM one ($J_1 = -14.6$ meV). This AFM configuration is higher in energy (and, thus, cannot be realized as the ground state). However, it can contribute to the thermodynamic average at elevated temperatures. Thus, the FM ground state obtained for the rutile structure of VO_2 is not inconsistent with the negative Curie-Weiss temperature, expected from the high-temperature behavior of magnetic susceptibility [28]: simply, the electronic structure of the paramagnetic state should be very different from the one realized in the FM ground state and, therefore, the magnetic interactions should be also different.

Using parameters of exchange interactions, obtained in DMFT, we again evaluate the "mean-field parameter" J_0 and the Curie temperature, using Tyablikov's RPA. The results are summarized in Fig. 5. Despite substantial differences in the electronic structure (see Fig. 3), the Curie temperature exhibits very similar behavior as the function of the band-filling in CrO_2 and VO_2. In the latter case, J_0 and T_C take the maximum at around $n = 1.2$ and 0.8, respectively. The maximal value of T_C is close to 1400 K, i.e. similar to CrO_2.

4. Summary and Conclusions

By combining first-principles electronic structure calculations with the dynamical mean-field theory, we have investigated the band-filling dependence of interatomic exchange interactions and the Curie temperature in CrO_2 and related rutile compounds. We have argued that T_C in the rutile compounds can be substantially increased by controlling the number of the t_{2g} electrons. It appears that, as far as the Curie temperature is concerned, the filling of the t_{2g} band, which is realized in the stoichiometric CrO_2, is not the most optimal one and much higher T_C can be expected for the smaller number of the t_{2g} electrons due to the general tendency of narrow-band compounds towards the ferromagnetism at the beginning and the end of the band-filling [23, 24]. According to our estimates, the optimal number of t_{2g} electrons should be close to $n = 1$, which formally corresponds to VO_2. Thus, if VO_2 indeed crystallized in the rutile structure, it could be a good FM material with some of the properties even exceeding CrO_2. However, below 340 K, VO_2 undergoes the transition to the monoclinic phase, which is

accompanied by the dimerization of the V sites and the formation of the nonmagnetic insulating state [28, 29, 30]. Actually, the situation when the robust ferromagnetism, expected in some materials, is destroyed by the lattice distortion is rather common in nature. One of the well known examples is $LaMnO_3$, which from the viewpoint of the DE physics is expected to be a strong ferromagnet with the optimal concentration of electrons in the partially filled e_g band [10]. However, this FM state in $LaMnO_3$ is destroyed by the Jahn-Teller distortion, which is responsible for the formation of the layered AFM state [31]. Nevertheless, the FM state can be realized in the thin films, where the crystal structure is controlled by the substrate. Such a possibility for VO_2 was indeed demonstrated in refs. [26, 27], where it was argued that by deposing VO_2 on different substrates one can achieve the room-temperature ferromagnetism, which can be switched 'on' and 'off', depending on the treatment conditions. This behavior was attributed to the V^{3+} defects, existing in the thin films of VO_2. However, results of our work demonstrate that such behavior can be also intrinsic and related to the general tendency towards the ferromagnetism in the narrow-band compounds at the beginning of the band filling.

Acknowledgments

This work is partly supported by the grant of Russian Science Foundation (project No. 14-12-00306).

References

[1] Skomski R and Coey J M D 1999 *Permanent Magnetism* (New York: Taylor & Francis Group)
[2] Skomski R 2008 *Simple Models of Magnetism* (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
[3] Schwarz K 1986 *J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.* **16** L211
[4] Mazin I I, Singh D J and Ambrosch-Draxl C 1999 *Phys. Rev. B* **59** 411
[5] Chioncel L, Allmaier H, Arrigoni E, Yamasaki A, Daghofer M, Katsnelson M I and Lichtenstein A I 2007 *Phys. Rev. B* **75** 140406(R)
[6] Katsnelson M I, Irkhin V Yu, Chioncel L, Lichtenstein A I and de Groot R A 2008 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** 315
[7] Singh A, Voltan S, Lahabi K and Aarts J 2015 *Phys. Rev. X* **5** 021019
[8] Solovyev I V, Kashin I V and Mazurenko V V 2015 *Phys. Rev. B* **92** 144407
[9] Georges A, Kotliar G, Krauth W and Rozenberg M J 1996 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68** 13
[10] Solovyev I V and Terakura K 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** 2959
[11] Dagotto E, Hotta T and Moreo A 2001 *Phys. Rep.* **344** 1
[12] Korotin M A, Anisimov V I, Khomskii D I and Sawatzky G A 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 4305
[13] Anderson P W 1959 *Phys. Rev.* **115** 2
[14] Liechtenstein A I, Katsnelson M I, Antropov V P and Gubanov V A 1987 *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **67** 65
[15] Katsnelson M I and Lichtenstein A I 2000 *Phys. Rev. B* **61** 8906
[16] Porta P, Marezio M, Remeika J P and Dernier P D 1972 *Mater. Res. Bull.* **7** 157
[17] McWhan D B, Marezio M, Remeika J P and Dernier P D 1974 *Phys. Rev. B* **10** 490
[18] Solovyev I V 2008 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **20** 293201
[19] Marzari N, Mostofi A A, Yates J R, Souza I and Vanderbilt D 2012 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84** 1419

[20] Gunnarsson O, Jepsen O and Andersen O K 1983 *Phys. Rev. B* **27** 7144
[21] Aryasetiawan F, Imada M, Georges A, Kotliar G, Biermann S and Lichtenstein A I 2004 *Phys. Rev. B* **70** 195104
[22] Kashin I V and Mazurenko V V 2015 *arXiv*:1508.04895
[23] Heine V and Samson J H 1980 *J. Phys. F: Metal Phys.* **10** 2609
[24] Heine V and Samson J H 1983 *J. Phys. F: Metal Phys.* **13** 2155
[25] Tyablikov S V 1975 *Methods of Quantum Theory of Magnetism* (Moscow: Nauka)
[26] Nori S, Yang T-H and Narayan J 2011 *Magnetic Materials and Devices* **63** 29
[27] Molaei R, Bayati R, Nori S, Kumar D, Prater J T and Narayan J 2013 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103** 252109
[28] Pouget J P, Lederer P, Schreiber D S, Launois H, Wohlleben D, Casalot A and Villeneuve G 1972 *J. Phys. Chem. Solids.* **33** 1961
[29] Morin F J 1959 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **3** 34
[30] Belozerov A S, Korotin M A, Anisimov V I and Poteryaev A I 2012 *Phys. Rev. B* **85** 045109
[31] Solovyev I, Hamada N and Terakura K 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** 4825